

# EXHIBIT “3”

---

---

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## FORM 6-K

### REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER

#### PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-16 OR 15D-16 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the month of May 2020

### FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE AG & Co. KGaA

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

Else-Kröner Strasse 1

61346 Bad Homburg

Germany

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F ☒

Form 40-F ☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes ☐

No ☒

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82- .

---

---

**FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE AG & Co. KGaA**  
**Notes to consolidated financial statements (Continued)**  
**(unaudited)**  
**(in THOUS, except share and per share data)**

**8. Commitments and contingencies (Continued)**

on incorrect and unauthorized billing guidance provided to Liberty by Xerox State Healthcare LLC, which acted as Hawaii's contracted administrator for its Medicaid program reimbursement operations during the relevant period. The amount of the overpayment claimed by the State is approximately \$8,000, but the State seeks civil remedies, interest, fines, and penalties against Liberty and FMCH under the Hawaii False Claims Act substantially in excess of the overpayment. After prevailing on motions by Xerox to preclude it from doing so, FMCH is pursuing third-party claims for contribution and indemnification against Xerox. The State's False Claims Act complaint was filed after Liberty initiated an administrative action challenging the State's recoupment of alleged overpayments from sums currently owed to Liberty. The civil litigation and administrative action are proceeding in parallel. Trial in the civil litigation is scheduled for March 8, 2021.

On August 31, 2015, FMCH received a subpoena under the False Claims Act from the United States Attorney for the District of Colorado (Denver) inquiring into FMCH's participation in and management of dialysis facility joint ventures in which physicians are partners. FMCH continues to cooperate in the Denver United States Attorney's Office ("USAO") investigation, which has come to focus on purchases and sales of minority interests in ongoing outpatient facilities between FMCH and physician groups.

On November 25, 2015, FMCH received a subpoena under the False Claims Act from the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York (Brooklyn) also inquiring into FMCH's involvement in certain dialysis facility joint ventures in New York. On September 26, 2018, the Brooklyn USAO declined to intervene on the qui tam complaint filed under seal in 2014 that gave rise to this investigation. CKD Project LLC v. Fresenius Medical Care, 2014 Civ. 06646 (E.D.N.Y. November 12, 2014). The court unsealed the complaint, allowing the relator to serve and proceed on its own. The relator—a special-purpose entity formed by law firms to pursue qui tam proceedings—has served its complaint and litigation is proceeding.

Beginning October 6, 2015, the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York (Brooklyn) has led an investigation, through subpoenas issued under the False Claims Act, of utilization and invoicing by FMCH's subsidiary Azura Vascular Care for a period beginning after FMCH's acquisition of American Access Care LLC ("AAC") in October 2011. FMCH is cooperating in the Brooklyn USAO investigation. The Brooklyn USAO has indicated that its investigation is nationwide in scope and is focused on whether certain access procedures performed at Azura facilities have been medically necessary and whether certain physician assistants employed by Azura exceeded their permissible scope of practice. Allegations against AAC arising in districts in Connecticut, Florida and Rhode Island relating to utilization and invoicing were settled in 2015.

On June 30, 2016, FMCH received a subpoena from the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Texas (Dallas) seeking information under the False Claims Act about the use and management of pharmaceuticals including Velphoro®. The investigation encompasses DaVita, Amgen, Sanofi, and other pharmaceutical manufacturers and includes inquiries into whether certain compensation transfers between manufacturers and pharmacy vendors constituted unlawful kickbacks. FMCH understands that this investigation is substantively independent of the \$63,700 settlement by DaVita Rx announced on December 14, 2017 in the matter styled United States ex rel. Gallian v. DaVita Rx, 2016 Civ. 0943 (N.D. Tex.). FMCH has cooperated in the investigation.

On November 18, 2016, FMCH received a subpoena under the False Claims Act from the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York (Brooklyn) seeking documents and information relating to the operations of Shiel Medical Laboratory, Inc. ("Shiel"), which FMCH acquired in October 2013. In the course of cooperating in the investigation and preparing to respond to the subpoena, FMCH identified falsifications and misrepresentations in documents submitted by a Shiel salesperson that relate to the integrity of certain invoices submitted by Shiel for laboratory testing for patients in long term care facilities. On February 21, 2017, FMCH terminated the employee and notified the United States Attorney of the termination and its circumstances. The terminated employee's conduct is expected to result in

**FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE AG & Co. KGaA**  
**Notes to consolidated financial statements (Continued)**  
**(unaudited)**  
**(in THOUS, except share and per share data)**

**8. Commitments and contingencies (Continued)**

demands for FMCH to refund overpayments and to pay related penalties under applicable laws, but the monetary value of such payment demands cannot yet be reasonably estimated. FMCH contends that, under the asset sale provisions of its 2013 Shiel acquisition, it is not responsible for misconduct by the terminated employee or other Shiel employees prior to the date of the acquisition. The Brooklyn USAO continues to investigate a range of issues involving Shiel, including allegations of improper compensation (kickbacks) to physicians, and has disclosed that multiple sealed qui tam complaints underlie the investigation.

On December 12, 2017, FMCH sold to Quest Diagnostics certain Shiel operations that are the subject of this Brooklyn subpoena, including the misconduct reported to the United States Attorney. Under the Quest Diagnostics sale agreement, FMCH retains responsibility for responding to the Brooklyn investigation and for liabilities arising from conduct occurring after its 2013 acquisition of Shiel and prior to its sale of Shiel to Quest Diagnostics. FMCH is cooperating in the investigation.

On December 14, 2016, CMS, which administers the federal Medicare program, published an Interim Final Rule (“IFR”) titled “Medicare Program; Conditions for Coverage for End-Stage Renal Disease Facilities-Third Party Payment.” The IFR would have amended the Conditions for Coverage for dialysis providers, like FMCH and would have effectively enabled insurers to reject premium payments made by or on behalf of patients who received grants for individual market coverage from the American Kidney Fund (“AKF” or “the Fund”). The IFR could thus have resulted in those patients losing individual insurance market coverage. The loss of coverage for these patients would have had a material and adverse impact on the operating results of FMCH.

On January 25, 2017, a federal district court in Texas responsible for litigation initiated by a patient advocacy group and dialysis providers including FMCH preliminarily enjoined CMS from implementing the IFR. *Dialysis Patient Citizens v. Burwell*, 2017 Civ. 0016 (E.D. Texas, Sherman Div.). The preliminary injunction was based on CMS’ failure to follow appropriate notice-and-comment procedures in adopting the IFR. The injunction remains in place and the court retains jurisdiction over the dispute.

On June 22, 2017, CMS requested a stay of proceedings in the litigation pending further rulemaking concerning the IFR. CMS stated, in support of its request, that it expects to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the Federal Register and otherwise pursue a notice-and-comment process. Plaintiffs in the litigation, including FMCH, consented to the stay, which was granted by the court on June 27, 2017.

On January 3, 2017, FMCH received a subpoena from the United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts under the False Claims Act inquiring into FMCH’s interactions and relationships with the AKF, including FMCH’s charitable contributions to the Fund and the Fund’s financial assistance to patients for insurance premiums. FMCH cooperated in the investigation, which was part of a broader investigation into charitable contributions in the medical industry. On August 1, 2019, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts entered an order announcing that the United States had declined to intervene on a qui tam complaint underlying the USAO Boston investigation and unsealing the relator’s complaint so as to permit the relator to serve the complaint and proceed on his own. The relator did not serve the complaint within the time allowed, but the court has not yet dismissed the relator’s complaint.

On April 8, 2019, United Healthcare served a demand for arbitration against FMCH. The demand asserts that FMCH unlawfully “steered” patients by waiving co-payments and other means away from coverage under government-funded insurance plans including Medicare into United Healthcare’s commercial plans, including Affordable Care Act exchange plans. FMCH is contesting United Healthcare’s claims and demands. A final hearing date has been scheduled in the arbitration for August 23, 2021.

In early May 2017, the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Tennessee (Nashville) issued identical subpoenas to FMCH and two subsidiaries under the False Claims Act concerning FMCH’s retail pharmaceutical business. The investigation is exploring allegations related to improper inducements to